N1	0.0529 (4)	0.1289 (8)	0.2565 (3)	0.0471 (9)
N3	-0.2099(5)	0.2000 (8)	0.1254 (4)	0.0645 (12)
C2	-0.0414 (6)	0.1763 (9)	0.1259 (4)	0.0561 (13)
C4	-0.2274 (6)	0.1734 (9)	0.2606 (5)	0.0635 (13)
C5	-0.0632 (7)	0.1277 (9)	0.3474 (4)	0.0632 (13)
C6	0.2480 (6)	0.0948 (11)	0.3034 (6)	0.089 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

O4—C9	1.415 (4)	C9—C8	1.523 (6)
O3C8	1.421 (5)	N1C2	1.299 (5)
01—C7	1.287 (5)	N1-C5	1.379 (5)
O5C10	1.214 (4)	N1C6	1.453 (6)
O6C10	1.277 (4)	N3-C2	1.291 (6)
O2C7	1.213 (4)	N3C4	1.327 (6)
C7—C8	1.532 (5)	C4C5	1.344 (7)
C10-C9	1.539 (5)		
02C701	126.4 (3)	O3-C8-C7	109.9 (3)
O2—C7—C8	119.8 (3)	C9—C8—C7	112.5 (3)
01	113.8 (3)	C2-N1-C5	107.7 (3)
O5C10O6	127.2 (3)	C2-N1-C6	127.0 (4)
O5-C10-C9	119.0 (3)	C5-N1-C6	125.2 (4)
O6C10C9	113.7 (3)	C2-N3C4	108.9 (4)
O4—C9—C8	110.2 (3)	N3-C2-N1	110.0 (4)
O4-C9-C10	111.5 (3)	N3C4C5	108.1 (4)
C8-C9-C10	110.0 (3)	C4-C5-N1	105.3 (3)
03	109.6 (3)		

Table 3. Contact distances (Å)

$O(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6^{i})$	2.48 (1)	$C(5) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1^{iv})$	3.27 (1)
$O(3) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(4^n)$	2.89(1)	$C(4) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(5^{\vee})$	3.20(1)
$O(4) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2^{iii})$	2.89(1)	N(3)· · · O(3 ^{vi})	2.89(1)
$C(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2^{iii})$	3.14 (1)		

Symmetry codes: (i) 1+x, y, z; (ii) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, -z$; (iii) $1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, -z$; (iv) $1-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, 1-z$; (v) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, 1-z$; (vi) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, -z$.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1993). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

This work was supported by the Office of Scientific Research.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1056). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Aakeröy, C. B., Hitchcock, P. B. & Seddon, K. R. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 553–555.
- Aakeröy, C. B., Seddon, K. R. & Leslie, M. (1992). Struct. Chem. 3, 63-65.
- Delfino, M., Jacco, J. C., Gentile, P. S. & Bray, D. D. (1977). J. Solid State Chem. 21, 243–251.
- Eaton, D. F. (1992). Chemtech, pp. 308-316.
- Huheey, J. E. (1983). *Inorganic Chemistry*, 3rd edition, p. 256. New York: Harper & Row.
- Kurtz, S. K. & Perry, T. T. (1968). J. Appl. Phys. 39, 3798-3813.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1993). XSCANS. X-ray Single Crystal Analysis System. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1683-1687

Mono- and Diprotonated 1,4-Dihydrazinophthalazine: $C_8H_{11}N_6^+.Cl^-$ and $C_8H_{12}N_6^{2+}.2Cl^-.2H_2O$

Olivero Carugo, Carla Bisi Castellani and Angelo Perotti

Dipartimento de Chimica Generale, Università di Pavia, via Taramelli 12, I-27100-Pavia, Italy

(Received 7 September 1994; accepted 3 January 1995)

Abstract

The crystal structures of 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine monohydrochloride, $C_8H_{11}N_6^+$.Cl⁻, and 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine dihydrochloride dihydrate, $C_8H_{12}N_6^{2+}$.-2Cl⁻.2H₂O, have been determined. The protonation sites on the 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine molecule were identified (*i.e.* 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazin-2-ium chloride and 4-hydrazinio-1-hydrazinophthalazin-2-ium dichloride dihydrate). A potentiometric study showed that in aqueous solution 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine behaves as a diprotic base with $pK_{a1} = 4.24$ and $pK_{a2} = 8.13$.

Comment

1,4-Dihydrazinophthalazine, $C_8H_{10}N_6$, (I), derivatives have been studied because of their potential pharmaceutical applications as antihypertensive agents (Durey & Tripod, 1967). In addition, they have applications in coordination chemistry as binucleating ligands (Andrew, Ball & Blake, 1969; Andrew & Blake, 1969; Ball & Blake, 1969, 1974; Rosen, 1971; Sullivan & Palenik, 1977; Robichaud & Thompson, 1984; Attanasio, Dessy & Fares, 1985; Attanasio, Fares & Imperatori, 1986; Thompson, Mandal, Gabe & Charland, 1986; Wen, Thompson, Lee & Gabe, 1988; Thompson, Lee & Gabe, 1988; Bontchev et al., 1989; Mandal, Thompson, Newlands, Charland & Gabe, 1990). Several such studies have shown the acidic H atoms of these molecules to be quite mobile, allowing tautomeric equilibria. For example, a detailed ¹H NMR analysis (Thompson & Woon, 1986) of some hydrazone derivatives of 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine showed that these molecules are present in solution as forms (II) and (III). It also revealed that the equilibrium between these tautomers is affected by complexation to metal ions, in particular diamagnetic copper(I). With regard to solid-state structures, Stadnicka & Lebioda (1979) and Herrnstadt, Mootz, Wunderlich & Mohrle (1979) reported the structure of the 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine. H_2SO_4 salt in which the 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine molecule is diprotonated, one proton being bonded to N2 and the other to N4. The crystal structure of 1-hydrazinophthalazine hydrochloride has also been determined (Stadnicka & Lebioda, 1978); in this case the protonation site is an N atom within the phthalazine ring.

As part of our study of the protonation of 1,4dihydrazinophthalazine we report here the structures of 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine monohydrochloride (Ia) and dihydrochloride dihydrate (Ib). The particular problem here is to determine which 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine N atom is protonated first. A potentiometric study of the protonation equilibria of 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine in water is also reported; this was undertaken in order to quantify the acidity of the protonation sites.

HN_NH2

(Ia)

ΗN

NH/

24

(CI)2 2H2O

 A^{ii} (III) the protonation of 1,4rt here the structures of ohydrochloride (I*a*) and The particular problem -dihydrazinophthalazine the hydrazinophthalazine in undertaken in order to mation sites. A^{ii} (CI)



NH.

 $(\mathbf{l}b)$

ecules in the asymmetric unit and an intramolecular N2—HN2···N6 hydrogen bond is formed (Table 3). Two independent molecules are connected by three hydrogen bonds (N2A—HN2A···N6Bⁱ, N4A— HN4A1···N1Bⁱ and N2Bⁱ—HN2Bⁱ···N1A) and each pair of independent 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine molecules is linked to another two pairs by N6Aⁱⁱ— HN6A1ⁱⁱ···N4A and N6Bⁱⁱⁱ—HN6B1ⁱⁱⁱ···N4Bⁱ</sup> hydrogen bonds, forming a sheet parallel to b. Adjacent sheets are connected by the two chloride anions present in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 2).

1,4-Dihydrazinophthalazine dihydrochloride dihydrate is protonated at the phthalazine N2 and the hydrazino N4 atoms (Fig. 3). Both protonations imply intramolecular hydrogen bonds $(N2-HN2\cdots N6$



Fig. 1. View of 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine monohydrochloride showing the atom-numbering scheme and the hydrogen-bond network. Symmetry codes: (i) 1-x, -y, -z; (ii) x, y-1, z; (iii) 1-x, -1-y, -z.



Fig. 2. Depiction of the first coordination sphere of the two independent chloride anions in the asymmetric unit of 1,4dihydrazinophthalazine monohydrochloride (distances < 3.0 Å). Symmetry codes are given in Table 3.



and N4—HN4B···N1; Table 3). One of the two water molecules has a strong interaction with two 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine molecules through N2— HN2···O1 and N4—HN4B···O1^{ix} hydrogen bonds, forming a sort of dimer. Dimers are connected by the two chloride anions (Fig. 4). The two water molecules are hydrogen bonded (O1—HO1B···O2).



Fig. 3. View of 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine dihydrochloride dihydrate showing the atom-numbering scheme and the hydrogen-bond network. The pseudo dimer is centrosymmetric.



Fig. 4. Depiction of the first coordination sphere of the two chloride anions of 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine dihydrochloride dihydrate (distances < 3.0 Å). Symmetry codes are given in Table 3.

Bond distances and angles within the 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine molecules of both the mono- and diprotonated structures are comparable to literature values (Andrew & Blake, 1969; Herrnstadt, Mootz, Wunderlich & Mohrle, 1979; Stadnicka & Lebioda, 1979). The two independent 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine molecules within the asymmetric unit of the monohydrochloride structure have statistically equivalent bond distances and angles. The positive charge resulting from the protonation of N2 is somewhat delocalized over the N2-C8-N5 fragment. The N2-C8 bond is longer than N1-C1 and N5-C8 is shorter than N3-C1. In the diprotonated structure also one positive charge is delocalized over the N2-C8-N5 moiety. The N2-C8 bond is longer than N1-C1 and N5-C8 is shorter than N3-C1. Both structures should therefore be represented by the mesomeric equilibrium between the limiting formulae (IV) and (V).



The 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine molecule is not planar in either the mono- or diprotonated form. The fragment C2-C3-C4-C5-C6-C7 is planar within the e.s.d.'s and the deviations of the other atoms from this plane are similar in the two forms. All the pyridazine rings deviate slightly from planarity, adopting distorted boat conformations with the N2 and C2 atoms out of the plane (Cremer & Pople, 1975; Zefirov, Palyulin & Dashevskaya, 1990). The total puckering amplitudes are very small (0.035 and 0.028 Å, respectively, for molecules A and B of the monoprotonated structure and 0.030 Å for the diprotonated form) and the aromaticity indices $I_{6,6}$ (Bird, 1992) are quite high (73.8, 72.7 and 72.5, respectively) suggesting that the 1,4dihydrazinophthalazines reported here are nearly aromatic.

A major difference between the monoprotonated and diprotonated structures is that the N1-C1 bond is shorter in the latter. The N3-C1 bond is also longer in this structure, which could imply that the N3-N4 hydrazino moiety is less involved in the overall electron delocalization in the diprotonated 1,4dihydrazinophthalazine molecule, where the atom N4 has a positive charge.

The potentiometric results of the protonation equilibria involving 1,4-dihydrazinophthalazine have shown the following two protonation steps:

$$L + H^+ = [LH]^+$$
 log $K = 8.13$
 $[LH]^+ + H^+ = [LH_2]^{2+}$ log $K = 4.24$

The potentiometric experiments revealed no evidence of dimerization.

Experimental

1,4-Dihydrazinophthalazine dihydrochloride dihydrate was purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. Crystals were grown by the slow concentration of a solution in ethanol at room temperature. Crystals of 1,4dihydrazinophthalazine monohydrochloride were obtained by half-neutralization with aqueous NaOH of an ethanolic solution of the dihydrochloride and slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature.

Monoprotonated Compound

Crystal data $C_8H_{11}N_6^+.Cl^ M_r = 226.67$

Cu $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å

$C_8H_{11}N_6^+.Cl^- \ \text{AND} \ C_8H_{12}N_6^{2+}.2Cl^-.2H_2O$

Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25	S = 0.935 Extinction correction: non		tion: none		
$P2_1/c$	reflections	1866 ref	lections	Ato	mic scatterin	g factors
a = 19.100(2) Å	$\theta = 35 - 45^{\circ}$	227 para	meters	f	rom Internati	onal Tables
b = 9.826(1) Å	$\mu = 3.340 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	All H-at	om parameter	rs f	or X-ray Crys	stallography
c = 13.411(1) Å	T = 293 K	refine	d	(1974, Vol. IV	`)
$\beta = 129.53 (6)^{\circ}$	Prism	$w = 1/\sigma$	$^{2}(F)$			
$V = 1941.3(3) Å^3$	$0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.30$ mm					
Z = 8	Orange	Table 1	. Fractional	atomic coo	rdinates and	l equivalent
$D_r = 1.55 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	5		isotropic di	splacement p	parameters (.	Ų)
Data collection		$B = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n} a^{n} a^{n} a^{n}$				
Enrof Nonjus CAD 4	2636 observed reflections		Deq -	- (1/3)21,21,01,0	$\mathbf{x}_i \ \mathbf{u}_j \ \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$	D
diffractometer	$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	6 11 1 1	x	у	Z	Beq
	$P_{1} = 0.021$	$C_8H_{11}N_6$.UI	0 12221 (9)	0 60652 (6)	3 83 (7)
Absorption corrections	$A_{\text{int}} = 0.021$	Cl2	0.38667 (4)	0.18299 (8)	0.79150 (6)	3.44 (2)
Absolption concetton.	$b_{\text{max}} = 70$	NIA	0.6877 (1)	0.2500 (3)	0.0323 (2)	2.81 (5)
ψ scalls (North, Filmps	$h = 0 \rightarrow 10$	N2A	0.6894 (1)	0.3906 (3)	0.0451 (2)	2.81 (5)
$\overset{\text{Mathews, 1908}}{=} 0.00$	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$	N3A	0.6407 (2)	0.0437 (3)	0.0508 (2)	3.42 (6)
$I_{\rm min} = 0.79, \ I_{\rm max} = 0.99$	$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$	N4A N54	0.6856 (2)	-0.0249(3)	0.0130(2) 0.1068(2)	3.91 (6)
3991 measured reflections	3 standard reflections	N5A N6A	0.0091(1) 0.7150(1)	0.6570 (3)	0.0670(2)	3.55 (6)
3661 independent reflections	frequency: 120 min	CIA	0.6465 (2)	0.1816 (3)	0.0655 (2)	2.64 (7)
	intensity decay: 7.9%	C2A	0.6040 (2)	0.2457 (3)	0.1134 (2)	2.53 (6)
Refinement		C3A	0.5580 (2)	0.1714 (3)	0.1453 (3)	3.24 (7)
Rejinement		C4A	0.5202 (2)	0.2382 (4)	0.1923 (3)	3.48 (7)
Refinement on F	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.03$	C5A C64	0.5268 (2)	0.3791(4)	0.20/4 (3)	3.38(/)
R = 0.047	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$	CTA	0.5721(2) 0.6104(2)	0.3874(3)	0.1739(2) 0.1285(2)	2.51 (6)
wR = 0.031	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	C8A	0.6574 (2)	0.4607 (3)	0.0932 (2)	2.51 (6)
S = 0.899	Extinction correction: none	N1 <i>B</i>	0.1906 (1)	-0.0594 (2)	0.0413 (2)	2.83 (5)
2636 reflections	Atomic scattering factors	N2B	0.1968 (1)	-0.1999 (2)	0.0559 (2)	2.77 (5)
359 parameters	from International Tables	N3B	0.1422 (1)	0.1416 (3)	0.0636 (2)	3.18 (6)
All H-atom parameters	for X-ray Crystallography	N4B N5B	0.1741(1) 0.1746(1)	-0.4101(3)	0.0071(2) 0.1084(2)	3.20(0)
refined	(1974, Vol. IV)	N6B	0.2199(1)	-0.4735(3)	0.0676 (2)	3.13 (5)
$w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$	(1), (1), (1), (1), (1), (1), (1), (1),	C1B	0.1517 (2)	0.0048 (3)	0.0801 (2)	2.51 (6)
		C2B	0.1162 (2)	-0.0640 (3)	0.1361 (2)	2.43 (6)
Diprotonated Compound		C3B	0.0785 (2)	0.0071 (3)	0.1828 (2)	2.91 (7)
Crystal data		C4B C5R	0.0465 (2)	-0.0641(3) -0.2058(3)	0.2302(3) 0.2429(3)	3.30(7)
$G H N^{2+} 2G = 2H O$	On K and intiger	C6B	0.0884(2)	-0.2776(3)	0.1977(2)	3.12 (7)
$C_8H_{12}N_6^{-1}.2CI$.2H ₂ U	Cu $\Lambda \alpha$ radiation	C7B	0.1213 (2)	-0.2063 (3)	0.1443 (2)	2.53 (6)
$M_r = 299.16$	$\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ A}$	C8 <i>B</i>	0.1648 (2)	-0.2754 (3)	0.1008 (2)	2.58 (6)
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25	6 11 1	2+ act - att a			
$P2_1/c$	reflections	$C_8H_{12}N_0$	$5^{-}.2CI .2H_{2}O$	0.02905 (5)	0 2122 (2)	4.02 (2)
a = 9.819 (1) Å	$\theta = 35 - 45^{\circ}$	CI2	0.1304(1) 0.8643(1)	0.02893(3) 0.17076(5)	0.3133(2) 0.4406(2)	4.02 (2)
b = 21.581 (2) Å	$\mu = 4.443 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	01	0.6448 (3)	0.0055 (1)	0.8771 (4)	3.96 (7)
c = 7.027 (1) Å	T = 293 K	02	0.3738 (4)	-0.0571 (2)	0.6540 (6)	6.0 (1)
$\beta = 113.88 \ (6)^{\circ}$	Prism	N1	0.3954 (2)	0.1015 (1)	0.8387 (5)	2.89 (7)
V = 1361.6 (3) Å ³	$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$ mm	N2 N3	0.5339 (3)	0.1251(1) 0.1166(2)	0.8732 (5)	2.92 (7)
Z = 4	White	N4	0.1333(3) 0.1482(3)	0.0517(2)	0.7680(5)	3.27 (7)
$D_r = 1.46 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		N5	0.7044 (3)	0.1999 (2)	0.9007 (5)	3.33 (7)
		N6	0.8038 (4)	0.1505 (2)	0.9191 (6)	4.36 (9)
Data collection		C1	0.2932 (4)	0.1419 (2)	0.8208 (5)	2.54 (8)
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	1866 observed reflections	C2	0.3127 (4)	0.20/3(2)	0.8316 (5)	2.48 (7)
diffractometer	$[l > 2\sigma(l)]$	C3 C4	0.2004 (4)	0.2301(2) 0.3120(2)	0.8131 (0)	2.88 (8)
$\omega = 2\theta$ scans	$R_{int} = 0.018$	C5	0.3672 (4)	0.3347 (2)	0.8475 (6)	3.31 (9)
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 70^{\circ}$	C6	0.4796 (4)	0.2935 (2)	0.8671 (5)	2.96 (8)
w scaps (North Phillips	$h = 0 \rightarrow 5$	C7	0.4546 (4)	0.2304 (2)	0.8589 (5)	2.53 (7)
φ Scans (Norm, 1968)	$k = 0 \rightarrow 18$	C8	0.5668 (4)	0.1843 (2)	0.8791 (5)	2.60 (8)
T = 0.74 T = 0.00	$k = 0 \rightarrow 18$	т	able ? Salac	ted acometrie	c narameters	· (Å °)
$I_{\min} = 0./4, I_{\max} = 0.99 \qquad l = -/ \rightarrow /$ Iable 2. Selected		ieu geomen n	ea geometric parameters (A, *)			
2729 measured reflections	5 standard renections			$C_8H_{11}N_6^+$.	C1-	$C_8H_{12}N_6^{2+}$
2341 independent reflections	rrequency: 120 min			A	B	$2Cl^{-}.2H_2O$
	intensity decay: 0.2%	NI-N2		1.390 (3)	1.389 (3)	1.3/9 (4)
Refinement		N2-C8		1.329 (5)	1.324 (5)	1.313 (5)
Definement on F	$(\Lambda/\sigma) = 0.03$	N3-N4		1.414 (5)	1.424 (5)	1.415 (5)
R = 0.020	$\Delta_{2} = 0.05$	N3C1		1.364 (4)	1.355 (4)	1.410 (5)
$\pi = 0.039$	$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.13 \text{ e A}$	N5N6		1.428 (5)	1.428 (5)	1.415 (5)
$w_{\rm K} = 0.030$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.14 \ {\rm e \ A}^{-1}$	N2C8		1.321 (4)	1.332 (4)	1.540(5)

C1C2	1.458 (5)	1.459 (5)	1.421 (5)		
C2C3	1.404 (5)	1.403 (5)	1.407 (5)		
C2C7	1.401 (4)	1.401 (4)	1.418 (5)		
C3-C4	1.389 (6)	1.390 (5)	1.357 (6)		
C4C5	1.393 (5)	1.395 (5)	1.408 (6)		
C5-C6	1.393 (6)	1.382 (6)	1.380 (6)		
С6—С7	1.402 (5)	1.405 (5)	1.381 (5)		
C7C8	1.447 (5)	1.453 (5)	1.448 (5)		
N2-N1-C1	116.1 (3)	116.2 (3)	116.0 (3)		
N1	126.4 (3)	126.8 (3)	125.2 (3)		
N4—N3—C1	121.0 (3)	121.1 (3)	112.6 (3)		
N6N5C8	117.8 (3)	119.6 (3)	116.6 (3)		
N1C1N3	118.1 (3)	117.7 (3)	115.0 (3)		
N1-C1-C2	123.4 (3)	123.3 (3)	125.4 (3)		
N3-C1-C2	118.4 (3)	119.0 (3)	119.5 (3)		
C1C2C3	122.8 (3)	122.5 (3)	124.3 (3)		
C1C7	118.1 (3)	118.1 (3)	117.4 (3)		
C3-C2-C7	119.1 (3)	119.4 (3)	118.3 (3)		
C2C3C4	120.0 (3)	119.8 (3)	120.9 (4)		
C3-C4-C5	120.8 (4)	120.3 (4)	120.5 (4)		
C4—C5—C6	120.0 (4)	120.7 (4)	119.5 (4)		
C5C6C7	119.5 (3)	119.3 (3)	120.7 (4)		
C2-C7-C6	120.7 (3)	120.4 (3)	120.1 (3)		
C2-C7-C8	117.5 (3)	117.6 (3)	116.1 (3)		
C6C7C8	121.8 (3)	121.9 (3)	123.9 (3)		
N2	119.5 (3)	120.6 (3)	118.1 (3)		
N2C8C7	118.3 (3)	117.9 (3)	119.8 (3)		
N5-C8-C7	122.2 (3)	121.5 (3)	122.1 (3)		
Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)					

onaing geomeiry (A, *)

DH	H <i>A</i>	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
0.91 (5)	2.20 (4)	2.644 (4)	110 (4)
0.88 (5)	2.29 (4)	2.713 (4)	110 (4)
0.91 (5)	2.21 (5)	3.045 (5)	153 (4)
0.96 (4)	2.54 (5)	3.001 (5)	110 (3)
0.88 (5)	2.36 (5)	3.136 (5)	146 (4)
0.86 (4)	2.46 (5)	3.177 (4)	140 (3)
0.99 (4)	2.30 (4)	3.166 (4)	147 (2)
0.86 (5)	2.31 (5)	3.165 (3)	173 (2)
0.97 (4)	2.55 (4)	3.510 (3)	173 (4)
0.96 (3)	2.67 (3)	3.537 (2)	150 (4)
1.02 (4)	2.66 (5)	3.559 (3)	147 (4)
1.08 (3)	2.44 (3)	3.504 (2)	169 (4)
0.95 (4)	2.61 (4)	3.557 (3)	169 (3)
0.85 (5)	2.39 (5)	3.198 (3)	160 (3)
0.90 (5)	2.24 (5)	3.106 (3)	160 (4)
0.95 (4)	2.68 (4)	3.615 (3)	170 (4)
1.09 (3)	2.29 (4)	3.351 (2)	165 (3)
0.97 (4)	2.69 (4)	3.580 (3)	154 (2)
0.89 (5)	2.50 (5)	3.334 (3)	156 (3)
1.07 (5)	2.37 (5)	2.595 (5)	90 (3)
0.99 (4)	2.34 (5)	2.514 (4)	88 (3)
1.07 (5)	1.77 (5)	2.797 (4)	158 (2)
0.99 (4)	1.83 (4)	2.789 (4)	163 (5)
0.76 (5)	2.08 (5)	2.826 (4)	167 (5)
0.80 (5)	2.43 (5)	3.203 (3)	162 (5)
0.88 (5)	2.32 (5)	3.167 (4)	162 (4)
0.81 (4)	2.34 (4)	3.095 (3)	155 (4)
0.89 (6)	2.26 (6)	3.099 (4)	157 (4)
1.05 (5)	2.65 (4)	3.553 (4)	144 (3)
0.95 (8)	2.75 (8)	3.670 (5)	163 (5)
0.91 (3)	2.27 (5)	3.171 (3)	172 (2)
0.89 (5)	2.44 (5)	3.267 (4)	155 (4)
0.91 (4)	2.79 (4)	3.681 (4)	167 (3)
0.96 (4)	2.24 (4)	3.159 (4)	161 (3)
	D—H 0.91 (5) 0.88 (5) 0.91 (5) 0.96 (4) 0.88 (5) 0.86 (4) 0.99 (4) 0.86 (5) 0.97 (4) 0.96 (3) 1.02 (4) 1.08 (3) 0.95 (4) 0.95 (4) 0.95 (4) 0.97 (4) 0.89 (5) 0.97 (4) 0.99 (4) 1.07 (5) 0.99 (4) 0.76 (5) 0.88 (5) 0.81 (4) 0.89 (5) 0.91 (3) 0.95 (8) 0.91 (3) 0.91 (4) 0.96 (4)	$\begin{array}{c c} DH & H\cdots A \\ 0.91 (5) & 2.20 (4) \\ 0.88 (5) & 2.29 (4) \\ 0.91 (5) & 2.21 (5) \\ 0.96 (4) & 2.54 (5) \\ 0.88 (5) & 2.36 (5) \\ 0.86 (4) & 2.46 (5) \\ 0.99 (4) & 2.30 (4) \\ \hline 0.86 (5) & 2.31 (5) \\ 0.97 (4) & 2.55 (4) \\ 0.97 (4) & 2.55 (4) \\ 0.96 (3) & 2.67 (3) \\ 1.02 (4) & 2.66 (5) \\ 1.08 (3) & 2.44 (3) \\ 0.95 (4) & 2.61 (4) \\ 0.85 (5) & 2.39 (5) \\ \hline 0.90 (5) & 2.24 (5) \\ 0.95 (4) & 2.61 (4) \\ 0.89 (5) & 2.29 (4) \\ 0.97 (4) & 2.69 (4) \\ 0.97 (4) & 2.69 (4) \\ 0.89 (5) & 2.50 (5) \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Symmetry codes: (i) 1-x, -y, -z; (ii) x, y-1, z; (iii) 1-x, -1-y, -z; (iv) $x, -\frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (v) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (vi) x, y, 1 + z; (vii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (viii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z;$ (ix) 1 - x, -y, 2 - z; (x) -x, -y, 1-z; (xi) 1-x, -y, 1-z; (xii) $x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2};$ (xiii) 1+x, y, z. Data were collected using graphite-monochromated radiation with the detector at 368 mm and the beam tunnel flushed with helium between crystal and detector.

Calculations were performed with SDP (Enraf-Nonius, 1985) and MolEN (Fair, 1990) on a MicroVAX 3100. Potentiometric measurements and pK_a determinations were carried out according to Hay, Govan, Perotti & Carugo (1992).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters. Hatom coordinates, complete geometry and least-squares-planes data have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1131). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Andrew, J. E., Ball, P. W. & Blake, A. E. (1969). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 143-144.
- Andrew, J. E. & Blake, A. B. (1969). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 1408-1415. Attanasio, D., Dessy, G. & Fares, V. (1985). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 104, 99-107.
- Attanasio, D., Fares, V. & Imperatori, P. (1986). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1476-1477.
- Ball, P. W. & Blake, A. B. (1969). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 1415-1422. Ball, P. W. & Blake, A. B. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 852-859.
- Bird, C. W. (1992). Tetrahedron, 48, 7857-7862, and references cited therein.
- Bontchev, P. R., Nachev, C. H., Evtimova, B., Yordanov, N. D., Zhecheva, E. & Meandjiev, D. (1989). Proceeding of the 12th Conference on Coordination Chemistry, Smotenice-Bratislava, CSSR, pp. 47-52.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358. Durey, J. & Tripod, J. (1967). J. Med. Chem. 7, 223-229.
- Enraf-Nonius (1985). Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Hay, R. W., Govan, N., Perotti, A. & Carugo, O. (1992). Transit. Met. Chem. 17, 161-163.
- Herrnstadt, C., Mootz, D., Wunderlich, H. & Mohrle, H. (1979). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 735-740.
- Mandal, S. K., Thompson, L. K., Newlands, M. J., Charland, J.-P. & Gabe, E. J. (1990). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 178, 169-178.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Robichaud, P. & Thompson, L. K. (1984). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 85, 137-142.
- Rosen, W. (1971). Inorg. Chem. 10, 1832-1835.
- Stadnicka, K. & Lebioda, L. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 1747-1749.
- Stadnicka, K. & Lebioda, L. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 770-772.
- Sullivan, D. A. & Palenik, G. J. (1977). Inorg. Chem. 16, 1127-1133.
- Thompson, L. K., Lee, F. L. & Gabe, E. J. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 39-46.
- Thompson, L. K., Mandal, S. K., Gabe, E. J. & Charland, J.-P. (1986). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1537-1539.
- Thompson, L. K. & Woon, T. C. (1986). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 111, 45-50.
- Wen, T., Thompson, L. K., Lee, F. L. & Gabe, E. J. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 4190-4196.
- Zefirov, N. S., Palyulin, V. A. & Dashevskaya, E. E. (1990). J. Phys. Org. Chem. 3, 147-152.